United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,730	09/15/2003	Srinivas Bangalore	113256Con-1	2036
26652 7590 06/26/2007 AT&T CORP. ROOM 2A207 ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921		EXAMINER		
			SPOONER, LAMONT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,730	BANGALORE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lamont M. Spooner	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -				
Period for Reply	EDLY IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MOI	NTU(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by six Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 September 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	·					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	na/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	·	occived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	riist of the certified copies flot re	scerveu.				
Attachment(s)		(770.440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	3) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application -				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This Office action is in response to claims filed 9/15/03. This application is a continuation of 09/912,461-priority date of 7/26/01, now US Patent 6,751,584. Claims 1-20 are pending and have been examined. The IDS filed 9/15/03 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. More specifically, the claim 1, 2 and 13 simply recite a mathematical algorithm (manipulation of data, vectors and the clustering of tokens), which neither results in a physical transformation, or a practical application which produces a useful, tangible, and concrete result.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting

Application/Control Number: 10/662,730

Art Unit: 2626

rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 and 22-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,751,584. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because every limitation of the current application is found within claims 1-11 and 22-29, although, for example, claim 1 of US 6,751,584, contains a slightly differently worded preamble, and contains a file, wherein claim 1 of the present invention does not contain the file language and is broader, however, one ordinarily skilled in the art, would find it obvious to remove a limitation, which does not render an application patentably distinct.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,730

Art Unit: 2626

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 10, 12, 14, 16-19, 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,317,707. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because every limitation of the current application is found within claims 1-11 and 22-29, although, for example, claim 1 of US 6,317,707, wherein the clusters of non-context tokens that form a grammatical model, of the above patent, is interpreted to encompass a lexical correlation among the non-context tokens.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Kempe (US 6,816,830) teaches identifying context tokens,
 determining a frequency count, generating a frequency vector
 based on an ambiguity class.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lamont M. Spooner whose telephone number is 571/272-7613. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,730

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on 571/272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lms 6/13/07

PATRICK N. EDOUARD

Page 5